No.



8900120

Agriffro Viosciences Inc.

Colherens, there has been presented to the

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH; CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE: IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLIeighteen Years from the date of this grant, subject CANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC SEED OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE RIGHT TO EX-CLUDE OTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, IMPORTING IT, OR EXPORTING IT, OR USING IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT

y therefrom, to the extent provided by the Plant Variety Protection Act. UNITED STATES seed of this variety (1) shall be sold by variety name only as OF CERTIFIED SEED AND (2) SHALL CONFORM TO THE NUMBER OF GENERATIONS THE OWNER OF THE RIGHTS. (84 STAT. 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

WHEAT

'Rio Blanco'

In Lestimony Waterest, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Elant Variety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D.C. 31st day of December the year of our Lord one thousand nine

hundred and ninety-two.

nt Variety Protection Offic

| •• | | | and a second and a second control of the second second between the second secon |
|----|--|--|--|
| | | APRE | ROVAL EXPIRES 4-30-85 |
| 7 | EOB4 | | ED: OMB NO, 0581-0055 |
| | Application if a plus be issued to the best plus being the best plus bet plus best plus best plus best plus best plus best plus best plu | ation is req ant variety ued (7 U.S. confidential | uired in order to determine protection certificate is to C. 2421). Information is until certificate is issued |
| J | | S.C. 2426). ARIETY NA | AME . |
| | | RIO | BLANCO |
| | PVPO | FOR OFF | ICIAL USE ONLY |
| | | 89 | 900120 |
| | ILING | DATE | . 20,19.89 |
| | и, | AMOUNT | A.M. P.M. |
| | /ED | s 184 | 000 |
| | ECEN | MA | FOR CERTIFICATE |
| | EES A | \$ 200 DATE | · · |
| | | Dec | .7,1992 |
| | | | CORPORATION |
|) | rebi ATION | ruary & | 3, 1989 SEIVE ALL PAPERS |
| | r C. | . Bruns | 3 |
| 2 | CO (| 30513 303-53 | 22_ 2721 |
| 1 | ection | Act.) | |
| : | e.) | | |
| | & A | aronom | ics |
| | ONLY | | SS OF CERTIFIED |
| | HICH (| CLASSES (| DE PRODUCTION |
| į | (X Re | gistered | XX Certified |
| • | ••• | <u>S</u> . | Yes (If "Yes," give date) |
| - | | : X | No |
| • | THE | ריין היין | RIES ? Yes (If "Yes," give names |
| | | | of countries and dates) |

| U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL MARKE APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIETY (Instructions on | FORM APPROVED: OMB NO. 0581-0055 Application is required in order to determine if a plant variety protection certificate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421). Information is held confidential until certificate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426). | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| 1. NAME OF APPLICANT(S) | 1 | 2. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION | 3. VARIETY N | |
| Agripro Biosciences Inc. | | W81-162 | į. | BLANCO |
| 4. ADDRESS (Street and No. or R.F.D. No., City, State, and | d Zip Code) | 5. PHONE (Include area code) | FOR OFF | ICIAL USE ONLY |
| 6700 Antioch Shawnee Mission, Kansas 66204 | | 913-384-4940 (KS) 303-532-3721 (CO) | PVPO NUMBER | 900120 |
| 6. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME 7. F | AMILY NAM | E (Botanical) | DATE | 700120 |
| Triticum <u>aestivum</u> | Gramine | ae | TIME TIME | |
| 8. KIND NAME | 9. 1 | DATE OF DETERMINATION | AMOUNT | FOR FILING |
| Hard White Wheat | á | 1) 1981 July 1984 2) 1985 per litter 9 25 Nov 1992 M | RECEIVED RECEIVED THE | u. 20,1989 |
| 10. IF THE APPLICANT NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON," G partnership, association, etc.) | IVE FORM C | OF ORGANIZATION (Corporation, | S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S | |
| Corporation | | | | .7,1992 |
| 11. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPORAT Delaware | | | February | NCORPORATION |
| 13. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT REPRESENT. | ATIVE(S), IF | ANY, TO SERVE IN THIS APPLIC | ATION AND RE | CEIVE ALL PAPERS |
| R.E. Heiner 6700 Antioch | OR | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | or C. Brun | S |
| Shawnee Mission, KS 66204 | UK | P.O. Box 3 | | |
| 913-384-4940 | | Berthoud PHONE (Include are | a <i>code)</i> :0513 303-5 | 32-3721 |
| 14. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTACHME a. Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the V b. Exhibit B, Novelty Statement. c. Exhibit C, Objective Description of Variety (Re d. Exhibit D, Additional Description of Variety. c. Exhibit E, Statement of the Basis of Applicant's | Variety (See S quest form fi | lection 52 of the Plant Variety Pro | re.) | nics |
| 15. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED OF SEED? (See Section 83(a) of the Plant Variety Protection | THIS VARIE | TY BE SOLD BY VARIETY NAME X Yes (If "Yes," answer i | ONLY AS A CL | ASS OF CERTIFIED |
| 16. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS VAF LIMITED AS TO NUMBER OF GENERATIONS? | HETY BE | 17. IF "YES" TO ITEM 16, W BEYOND BREEDER SEE | HICH CLASSES | OF PRODUCTION |
| Yes No | | XX Foundation | XX Registered | XX Certified |
| 18. DID THE APPLICANT(S) PREVIOUSLY FILE FOR | PROTECTIO | IN OF THE VARIETY IN THE U. | s.? | Yes (If "Yes," give date) |
| 10. UAC TUE VACUETY SECONDESIGNATION | | | <u>lxl</u> | No |
| 19. HAS THE VARIETY BEEN RELEASED, OFFERED F | OR SALE, C | OR MARKETED IN THE U.S. OR | OTHER COUNT | RIES ? Yes (If "Yes," give names of countries and dates) |
| 20 ml | | | : X | No |
| 20. The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable sample of plenished upon request in accordance with such re | gulations as | may be applicable. | | · |
| The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the owner(s) distinct, uniform, and stable as required in Section Variety Protection Act. | of this sexual 41, and is e | ally reproduced novel plant vari entitled to protection under the | iety, and believe provisions of S | e(s) that the variety is ection 42 of the Plant |
| Applicant(s) is (are) informed that false representa | tion herein | can jeopardize protection and r | esult in penaltic | es |
| SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT R& Henry | | · . | BATE | 14-89 |
| SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT | | | DATE | |

8900120

EXHIBIT A.

ORIGIN AND BREEDING HISTORY OF RIO BLANCO

Rio Blanco is an F3 derived single plant selection made in 1979 from the cross OK11252A/W76-1226. The resulting plant row was observed in 1980 and advanced into preliminary yield trials as W81-162 in 1981. Rio Blanco is similar to its sister line Mesa but differs principally in that it is recessive for all three alleles for red seed color and is thus a hard white wheat.

Rio Blanco has been tested as experimental number W81-162 in AgriPro preliminary and replicated yield trials at numerous locations in the hard red winter wheat region of the Great Plains from 1981 thru 1987. Furthermore, Rio Blanco was tested in the Kansas State University Intra State Nursery (KIN) Trial in 1987 and was included in the 1988 Kansas State University Variety Performance Trial (VPT) at all 16 locations in Kansas courtesy of the Kansas Crop Improvement Association (KCIA). Rio Blanco was entered for first year testing in the 1988 Southern Regional Performance Nursery (SRPN) with the UDSA/ARS.

In 1984, 100 head-rows were grown in Berthoud, Colorado and 97 were selected to produce breeders seed. Approximately 1,440 pounds of breeder seed was produced in 1985. In 1987, approximately 21,550 pounds of Foundation seed was produced.

Rio Blanco is unifrom and stable. Less than .5% of the plants were rogued from the breeder seed field in 1985. Approximately 95% of the rogued variant plants were three to ten centimeters taller than Rio Blanco. Up to 1% total variant plants may be encountered in subsequent generations.

Given the nature of the three gene recessive white kernael trait a 2% of the red kernel variants may be encountered in subsequent generations. These red kernel variante are not to exceed 2% in subsequent generations.

EXHIBIT B.

NOVELTY STATEMENT

Rio Blanco is most similar to the hard red winter wheats Mesa and Trailblazer. However, it can be easily distinguished by the following morphological characteristics:

- Rio Blanco is a hard white wheat. Mesa and Trailblazer are hard red winter wheats.
- Rio Blanco has a midlong to long glume length. Trailblazer has a long glume length, (see statistical data page 1).

page 1.

ANOVA TABLE FOR GLUME LENGTH RIO BLANCO VS. TRAILBLAZER

| SOURCE | DF | SS | MS |
|--------|----|--------|---------|
| TOTAL | 49 | 12.866 | |
| VAR | 1 | 6.193 | 6.19276 |
| ERROR | 48 | 6.673 | 0.13902 |

F-TEST= **44.545 CV= 0.476 LSD(5%)= 0.042

MEANS FOR EACH VARIETY

Rio Blanco= 8.5mm Trailblazer= 9.2mm

**The difference in means of glume length are significantly different at the 1% probability level.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE LIVESTOCK, MEAT, GRAIN & SEED DIVISION BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

EXHIBIT C (Wheat)

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY WHEAT (TRITICUM SPP.)

| ISTRUCTIONS: See Reverse. | WHEAT (TRITICUM | SPP.) |
|--|-------------------------------|---|
| AME OF APPLICANT(S) | | FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY |
| Agripro Biosciences Inc. ADDRESS (Street and No. or R.F.D. No., City, State | e, and ZIP Code) | PYPO NUMBER 900120 |
| 6700 Antioch Shawnee Mission, Kansas | | VARIETY NAME OR TEMPORARY DESIGNATION |
| Place the appropriate number that describes the Place a zero in first box (5.8. 0 8 9 or 0 | te varietal character of this | s variety in the boxes below. |
| 1. KIND: 1 1 = COMMON 2 = DURUM 3 = EMMER | | |
| 2. TYPE: 2 1 = SPRING 2 = WINTER 3 = OTHER (| | 1 = SOFT 3 = OTHER (Specify) 2 = HARD |
| 1 1 = WHITE 2 = RED 3 = OTHER (Spec | sity) | |
| 3. SEASON - NUMBER OF DAYS FROM plant: | псто: | |
| 2 3 2 FIRST FLOWERING | 2 | 3 5 LAST FLOWERING |
| 4. MATURITY (50% Flowering): | | |
| NO. OF DAYS EARLIER THAN | | 1 = ARTHUR 2 = SCOUT 3 = CHRIS |
| 0 2 NO. OF DAYS LATER THAN | 7 | 4 = LEMHI 5 = NUGAINES 6 = LEEDS 7=Mesa |
| 5. PLANT HEIGHT (From soil level to top of head, | | |
| 0 6 9 см. нібн | | |
| 0 2 CM. TALLER THAN | | 2 - SCOUT 3 = CHRIS |
| CM. SHORTER THAN | | 1 = ARTHUR 2 = SCOUT 3 = CHRIS 4 = LEMHI 5 = NUGAINES 6 = LEEDS 7 = Mesa |
| S. PLANT COLOR AT BOOTING (See reverse): | 7. AN | THER COLOR: |
| 2 | | 1 = YELLOW 2 = PURPLE |
| 8. STEM: | | |
| Anthocyanin: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESEN | 7 | Waxy bloom: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT |
| 2 Hairiness of last internode of rachis: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PR | RESENT | Internodes: 1 = HOLLOW 2 = SOLID |
| 0 5 NO. OF NODES (Originating from node at | bave ground) | 6 CM. INTERNODE LENGTH BETWEEN FLAG LEAF AND LEAF BELOW |
| AURICLES: | | |
| 2 Anthocyanin: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESEN | т 2 | Hairiness: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT |
| O. LEAF: | | |
| Flag leaf at 1 = ERECT 2 = RECU booting stage: 3 = OTHER (Specify): | 2 | Flag leaf:] = NOT TWISTED 2 = LTWISTED |
| Hairs of first leaf sheath: 1 = ABSENT | 2 = PRESENT 2 | Waxy bloom of flag leaf sheath: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT |
| 1 2 MM. LEAF WIDTH (First loaf below II | 2 | 3 CM. LEAF LENGTH (First leaf below flag leaf): |

| 'Rio Blanco' | | | 8900120 |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| FORM GR-470-6 (REVERSE) | | | 0700120 |
| | 2 = DENSE 3=Middense | Shape: 1 = TAPERING 1 = OTHER (Sp | 2 = STRAP 3 = CLAVATE |
| 4 Awnedness: 1 = Awn | LESS 2 = APICALLY AWNLETED 3 | = AWNLETED ' 4 = AWNED | |
| Color at maturity: 5 = | WHITE 2 = YELLOW 3 = PINK 4 = BROWN 6 = BLACK 7 = OTHER | RED ? (Specify): | |
| 8. 7 CM. LENGTH | • | 9. 0 MM. WIOTH | |
| | y: ca.7 mm.) 2 = medium (ca.8 mm.) a.9 mm.) average 8.4mm | Tidth: I = NARROW (CA. | |
| 121 | NG 2 = OBLIQUE 3 = ROUNDED E 5 = ELEVATED 6 = APICULATE | Beak: 1 = OBTUSE | 2 = ACUTE 3 = ACUMINATE |
| 13. COLEOPTILE COLOR: | | 14. SEEDLING ANTHOCYAN | IN: |
| 1 1 = WHITE 2 = RE | D 3=PURPLE | 2 1 = ABSENT 2 = 1 | PRESENT |
| 15. JUYENILE PLANT GRO | WTH HABIT: | | |
| 2 I = PROSTRATE | 2 = SEMI-ERECT 3 = EREC | т | |
| 16. SEED: | • . | | |
| 1-3 Shape: Qvate to | ellintical3 = ELLIPTICAL | 1 Cheek: I = ROUNDED | 2 = ANGULAR |
| | midlong | | |
| 2 Brush: 1 = SHORT | 2 = MEDIUM 3 = LONG | 1 Brush: 1= NOT COL | LARED 2 = COLLARED |
| Phenol reaction | l=ivory, 2=fawn 3=LT.BROWN | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| (See instructions): | 4 = BROWN 5 = BLACK | | |
| Color: 1 = WHITE | 2 = AMBER 3 = RED 4 = PURPLE | 5 = OTHER (Specify) | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 6. 3 MM. LENGTH | 2. 7 MM. WIDTH | 3 0 GM. PER 1000 SE | EDS |
| 17. SEED CREASE: | | | |
| I Width: 1 = 60% OR L | ESS OF KERNEL 'WINOKA' | \$ 3 · · | ESS OF KERNEL 'SCOUT' |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | SS OF KERNEL 'CHRIS' | | ESS OF KERNEL 'CHRIS' ESS OF KERNEL 'LEMHI' |
| | S WIDE AS KERNEL 'LEMHI' | | |
| .18. DISEASE: (0 = Not Tesh STEM RUST 1988 (Races) field re | ed, 1 = Susceptible, 2 = Resistant) 3=MO LEAF RUST 1988 (Races) field races | O STRIPE RUST | 4=Moderately Resistant |
| 1 POWDERY MILDEW | O BUNT | | il borne mosaic virus |
| 19. INSECT: (D = Not Teste | d, 1 = Susceptible, 2 = Resistant) 3=MO | domatoly Succeptible | - Madayatalu Dasistant |
| 0 SAWFLY | O APHID (Bydy.) | O CHEEN BOG | 0 CEREAL LEAF BEETLE |
| O OTHER (Specify) | HESSIAN FLY | 3 GP 0 A | 0 B 0 c |
| | RACES: | 0 p 0 E | 0 F 0 G |
| 20. INDICATE WHICH VARIE | TY MOST CLOSELY RESEMBLES THAT S | UBMITTED: | |
| CHARACTER | NAME OF VARIETY | CHARACTER | NAME OF VARIETY |
| Plant tillering | Trailblazer | Seed size | Mesa |
| Leaf size | Mesa | Seed shape ! | Mesa |
| Leaf color | Trailblazer | Caleastile elangation | Trailblazer |
| Leaf carriage | Trailblazer | Seedling bigmentation | <u>Trailblazer</u> |
| | INSTRU | CTIONS | |

GENERAL: The following publications may be used as a reference aid for the standardization of terms and procedures for completing this form:

- (a) L.T. Briggie and L. P. Reitz. 1963. Classification of Triticum Species and Theat Varieties Grown in the United States. Technical Bulletin 1278, United States Department of Agriculture.
- (b) W.E. Walls, 1965. A Standardized Phenol Method for Testine Theat Seeds for Varietal Purity, contribution No. 28 to the handbook of seed testing prepared by the Association of Official Seed Analysis. (See attachment.)

EXHIBIT D.

ADDITIONAL BOTANICAL DESCRIPTION OF RIO BLANCO

Rio Blanco is a hard white wheat bred and developed by Agripro Biosciences Inc. Rio Blanco is a high yielding, medium maturity, short to intermediate semidwarf wheat with good milling and baking properties. It was tested as the experimental number W81-162.

In addition to its high yield potential Rio Blanco has been identified as having excellent test weight patterns, and excellent protection to soil borne mosaic virus. Rio Blanco is susceptible to powdery mildew.

Juvenile growth habit is semi-erect. Plant color at boot is green with an erect, twisted flag leaf. Auricle hairs and auricle anthocyanin are present. Head shape is tapering, middense, awned and head color is white at maturity. Glumes are glabrous, midlong to long and narrow with oblique shoulders and acuminate beaks. Seed shape is ovate to elliptical with rounded cheeks. Seed crease is narrow and shallow.

Rio Blanco's area of adaption based upon yield performance would include the major wheat growing areas of Colorado, Kansas, Oklahoma, northern Texas and southern Nebraska.

EXHIBIT E.

STATEMENT OF THE BASIS OF APPLICANT'S OWNERSHIP

Agripro Biosciences Inc. is the applicant for protection in this case being:

- a) The incorporated business (registered in Delaware) for and within which regular employees have bred the named variety.
- b) The proprietory owner and intending commercial user of the variety.

EXHIBIT F.

QUALITY AND AGRONOMIC DATA

| Quality Data | | | | page 1 |
|-----------------------------|-------|---------|---------------------------------------|--------|
| Height and Maturity Data . | • • | • • | | page 2 |
| Agronomic & Pathological Da | ıta . | • | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | page 3 |
| Hard Wheat Trial Summary Da | ıta . | | | page 4 |

AGRIPRO WHEAT HARD RED WINTER WHEAT

YEAR: 1988

| | | | FLOUR/WHEAT QUALITY | | | | BAKING QUALITY | | | | | | | |
|------|------------|------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|---------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------|----------------|--------|
| | VARIETY | LOC | | ELR PROT | HRD | FLR YLD | ASH | HIX | ABS | HIX TIME | LCAF VOL | GR | CRUMB- | COL |
| | | | 14%ab | 147mb | | ~~~ % | | R | 7. | min | | R | R | R |
| 88 | RIO BLANCO | SK | 14.9 | 14.2 | 66 | 63.3 | .471 | 6 | 63.0 | 3.50 | 1300 | 5 | 3 | 3 |
| 88 | RIO BLANCO | NO | 13.6 | 12.9 | - 56 | 64.0 | 428 | 3 | 63.0 | 4.00 | 1210 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| 87 | RIO BLANCO | ٧K | 12.8 | 11.7 | 59 | 65.6 | .433 | 5 | 64.0 | 5.00 | 1180 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| 87 | RIO BLANCO | GI | 14.5 | 13.2 | 58 | 66.2 | .000 | - 5 | 66.0 | 3.25 | 1225 | 6 | 3 . | 3 |
| 86 | RIO BLANCO | NO | 13.7 | 12.2 | | 65.4 | .000 | 4 | 64.0 | 4.75 | 1000 | 3 | 2 2 | 2 |
| 85 | RIO BLANCO | SK | 12.1 | 11.5 | | 69.0 | .000 | 5 | 60.0 | 4.50 | 980 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 85 | RIO BLANCO | GK | 11.9 | 10.3 | | 68.0 | .000 | 4 | 62.0 | 3.50 | 900 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 84 | RIO BLANCO | S0 | | 11.5 | | 71.9 | .378 | 4 | 63.0 | 4.25 | 990 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| 84 | RIO BLANCO | GI | | 12.0 | | 71.6 | .471 | 3 | 65.0 | 3.75 | 975 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| . 83 | RIO BLANCO | SK | 13.3 | 11.6 | | 70.2 | .000 | 4 | 61.0 | 4.25 | 875 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 82 | RIO BLANCO | LK | 14.2 | 13.6 | | 72.2 | .000 | 2 | 66.0 | 3.50 | 940 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | AVERAGE | | 13.5 | 12.2 | 60 | 68.0 | .440 | 4.1 | 63.4 | 4.02 | 1052 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| 88 | NEWION | SK | 14 1 | 10.0 | /= | <i>(</i> | 440 | | | O F3 | 3000 | | | |
| 88 | NEWTON | NO ON | 14.1 12.5 | 13.3 | 65 64 | 60.4 | .448 | 2 | 62.0 | 2.50 | 1080 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| 87 | NEWTON | MK | 12.3 | 11.4 | 57 | 61.8 63.3 | .417 .327 | 1 5 | 60.0 | 3.75 | 1050 | 3 2 | 3 | 2 3 |
| 87 | NEWTON | GI | 11.8 | 11.0 | 61 | 64.6 | .000 | ა 5 | 62.0 63.0 | 2.75 3.00 | 1010 1100 | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| 86 | NEWION | ИО 13.7 | 12.0 | 10.9 | 01 | 63.7 | .000 | 3 | 63.0 | 4.00 | 980 | 3 | 3 | . J |
| 85 | NEWTON | SK | 12.6 | 11.7 | | 67.9 | .000 | .3 4 | 61.0 | 3.00 | 960 860 | . 3 | ა 3 | 3 |
| 85 | NEWTON | GK | 11.9 | 10.2 | | 67.3 | .000 | 5 | 62.0 | 3.50 | 890 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 84 | NEWTON | SO | 12.0 | 10.8 | | 69.6 | .399 | | 59.0 | 4.75 | 920 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 84 | | GI | | 11.5 | - | 70.3 | .439 | 6 4 | 63.0 | 3.50 | 925 | 2 | 1 | 3 1 |
| 83 | NEWTON | SK | 11.9 | 10.0 | | 69.0 | .424 | 4 | 60.0 | 4.25 | 875 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 82 | NEWION | LK | 12.4 | 11.6 | | 69.7 | .000 | 4 | 62.0 | 4.50 | 850 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| | AVERAGE | | 12.5 | 11.2 | 62 | 66.1 | .410 | 3.9 | 61.6 | 3.59 | 958 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.3 |
| | R=RAT INGS | 3 | 1-2=EXCELI | ENT | 3-4=G00 | D 5≃4 | CCEPTAL | RLE | 6-7=0HE | ST IONARI | LE 8-0 |)=UNAC | ር ፑ ዮፕል | RI.E |

page 2.

RIO BLANCO MATURITY SUPPORT DATA

| | 8 | 8 Locati | ons* | | <u>87 Lo</u> | <u> X</u> | |
|-------------|---|----------|------|-------------|--------------|-----------|-----|
| Rio Blanco | 3 | 3 | ٠. | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3.4 |
| Mesa | 4 | 3 | | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2.6 |
| Trailblazer | 5 | 5 | | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5.0 |
| Tam W 105 | 5 | 4 | | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4.4 |

*rating scale: 1=very early 9=very late

RIO BLANCO HEIGHT SUPPORT DATA

| | · | 88 Location | | 87 Lo | cations | X |
|-------------|----|-------------|----|-------|---------|------|
| | | (cm) | | | (cm) | |
| Rio Blanco | 87 | 80 | 80 | 69 | 86 | 80.4 |
| Mesa | 82 | 85 | 75 | 70 | 85 | 79.4 |
| Trailblazer | 92 | 90 | 90 | 85 | 97 | 90.8 |
| Tam W 105 | 94 | 105 | 88 | 82 | 89 | 91.6 |

 $\star Note:$ Tam W 105 is an intermediate height semidwarf.

RELATIVE RANKINGS OF RIO BLANCO AND FOUR OTHER HRWW VARIETIES FOR VARIOUS AGRONOMIC AND PATHOLOGICAL TRAITS - 1988 SUMMARY

| VARIETY | TEST WEIGHT | PHYSIOLOGICAL MATURITY | НЕІСНТ | SOIL BORNE MOSAIC VIRUS | SPINDLE STREAK MOSAIC VIRUS | POWDERY MILDEW |
|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|--------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|
| Rio Blanco | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 8 |
| Abilene | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 8 |
| Trailblazer | 5 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 8 |
| Mesa | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 8 |
| Siouxland | 4 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 2 |

The rankings in the table above are based on a scale of 1-9, where 1 and 9 represent the following extremes for the respective traits.

| | | | 1 | | 9 |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------------|-----|-------------|
| Test Weight | | | high | | low |
| Maturity | | | early | 100 | late |
| All disease & | insect | ratings | resistant | | susceptible |

AGRIPRO SEEDS HARD WINTER WHEAT TRIAL SUMMARY OVER LOCATIONS-OVER YEARS - 1988

YEARS: 85,86,87,88

VARIETIES: RIO BLANCO vs. ARKAN

| | LOCS | YIELD | Bu/Ac | LOCS | T.WT. | lb/Bu |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|---|---|
| STATES CO KS MO NE OK TX | 4 33 1 3 2 1 | RIO B. 105.3 52.2 55.9 71.8 51.4 78.8 | ARKAN 107.3 48.0 60.8 69.0 50.9 72.4 | 4 33 0 3 2 1 | RIO B. 60.3 59.0 0.0 56.8 57.9 57.8 | 59.8 57.5 0.0 56.4 58.3 55.3 |
| ALL | 44 | 59.0 | 55.8 | 43 | 58.9 | 57.6 |

YEARS: 85,86,87,88

VARIETIES: RIO BLANCO vs. SIOUXLAND

| | LOCS | YIELD Bu/Ac | | LOCS | T.WT. | lb/Bu |
|--|-----------------------------|--|---|-----------------------------|---|--|
| STATES CO KS MO NE OK TX | 3 23 1 3 2 2 | RIO B. 93.5 49.5 55.9 71.8 51.4 77.7 | SIOUX 99.7 46.8 62.3 74.3 53.5 67.2 | 3 23 0 3 2 2 | RIO B. 60.0 59.1 0.0 56.8 57.9 55.7 | SIOUX 59.6 57.4 0.0 57.9 58.9 53.5 |
| ALL | 34 | 57.3 | 55 . 9 | 33 | 58.7 | 57.5 |

THIS DATA REPRESENTS ALL DATA AVAILABLE IN HRWW REGION FROM PUBLIC AND PRIVATE TRIALS, DATING BACK TO 1985. THESE TRIALS INCLUDE IRRIGATION, CONTINUOUS, AND SUMMER FALLOW.